U.S. Application No. 09/741,048

Docket No. 0879-0295P

Art Unit: 2612

Page 25 of 28

**REMARKS** 

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application are

respectfully requested in view of the following remarks. Prior to the Office

Action, claims 1-51 were pending. Through this reply, claims 5-6, 20-21, 26,

29 and 45-46 are canceled and claims 52-57 are added. Therefore, claims 1-4,

7-19, 22-25, 27-28, 30-44 and 47-57 are presented for examination. Claims 1,

9, 10, 25 and 40 are independent.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 21, 29, 45 and

46 includes allowable subject matter. In this Reply, independent claim 1 is

amended to recite the features of claim 46 including intervening claims 5 and 6,

independent claim 9 is amended to recite the features of claim 21 including

intervening claim 20, independent claim 10 is amended to recite the features of

claim 46, and independent claim 25 is amended to recite the features of claim

29 including intervening claim 26. Accordingly, these independent claims and

the dependent claims thereon (claims 1-4, 7-19, 22-25, 27-28, 30-39 and 47-

51) are now allowable.

DRA/HNS/vd

U.S. Application No. 09/741,048

Docket No. 0879-0295P

Art Unit: 2612

Page 26 of 28

CLAIMS 40-44 AND 51

As a result of the amendments to claims indicated above, only claims 40-

44 and 51 remain rejected. In the Office Action, claims 40-42 and 51 stand

rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Belucci

et al. (US Patent 5,913,542), Yamamoto et al. (JP 06-123197 A), and Blank

(U.S. Patent 5,345,313). See Office Action, item 11. Also, claims 43 and 44

stand rejected as allegedly being unpatentable over Belucci, Yamamoto, Blank

and Daly et al. (U.S. Patent 6,173,069). See Office Action, item 11. Applicant

respectfully traverses both rejections.

Independent claim 40 recites, in part "calculating a characteristic value

of the area, wherein the characteristic value of the area includes an average

luminance, an average chromacity Cb, and an average chromacity Cr of the

area." None of Belucci, Yamamoto, Blank and Daly teach or suggest this

feature. Consequently, independent claim 40 and the dependent claims 41-44

and 51 are distinguishable over any combination of Belucci, Yamamoto, Blank

and Daly.

Applicant respectfully request that the rejection of claims 40-42 and 51

based on Belucci, Yamamoto and Blank and the rejection of claims 43-44

based on Belucci, Yamamoto, Blank and Daly be withdrawn.

DRA/HNS/vd

U.S. Application No. 09/741,048

Docket No. 0879-0295P

Art Unit: 2612

Page 27 of 28

**NEW CLAIMS** 

Claims 52-57 are added through this reply. No new matter is presented.

All new claims are believed to be distinguishable over the cited references,

individually or in any combination, for at least due to their dependencies from

independent claims. Applicant respectfully requests that the new claims be

allowed.

CONCLUSION

All objections and rejections raised in the Office Action having been

addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in

condition for allowance. Should there be any outstanding matters that need to

be resolved, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Hyung Sohn (Reg.

No. 44,346), to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in

connection with the present application.

DRA/HNS/vd

U.S. Application No. 09/741,048 Docket No. 0879-0295P

Art Unit: 2612 Page 28 of 28

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: November 13, 2006

D. Richard Anderson

Respectfully subm

Registration No.: 40,439

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH

& BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

DRA/HNS/vd